



TITLE:

分散型修正項をもつ双曲型特異摂動の漸近解の構成について(超関数と微分方程式)

AUTHOR(S):

UCHIYAMA, Koichi

CITATION:

UCHIYAMA, Koichi. 分散型修正項をもつ双曲型特異摂動の漸近解の構成について(超関数と微分方程式). 数理解析研究所講究録 1996, 935: 61-74

ISSUE DATE:

1996-01

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60020>

RIGHT:

分散型修正項をもつ双曲型特異摂動の 漸近解の構成について

上智大学理工学部 内山康一

Sophia University, UCHIYAMA Kôichi

1 Introduction

We consider Cauchy problems for a linear strictly hyperbolic equation of order l with a small parameter $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$:

$$(1) \quad \left((i\epsilon)^{l-m} L(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) + M(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) \right) u(t, x; \epsilon) = f(t, x; \epsilon)$$

for $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbf{R}_x^d$,

$$(2) \quad D_t^j u(0, x; \epsilon) = g_j(x; \epsilon) \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l-1$$

where L and M are linear strictly hyperbolic operators of order l and m ($l = m+1$ or $m+2$) with C^∞ bounded derivatives with respect to $(t, x, \epsilon) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^d \times [0, \epsilon_0]$.

The aim of this paper is to give C^∞ asymptotic expansions of solutions to singularly perturbed Cauchy problems of this type. This is a revisit of problems treated in [8].

We postulate that the solution has an expansion

$$(3) \quad u(t, x; \epsilon) \sim v(t, x; \epsilon) + w(t, x; \epsilon),$$

$$(4) \quad v(t, x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n v_n(t, x) \quad (\text{regular part}),$$

$$(5) \quad w(t, x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \epsilon^n w_n(t, x; \epsilon) \quad (\text{singular part})$$

where v and w mean formal sums such that

$$(6) \quad Pv \sim f$$

$$(7) \quad Pw \sim 0$$

$$(8) \quad D_t^j(v + w)|_{t=0} \sim g_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l-1.$$

We investigated in [9] a priori L^2 and higher order Sobolev norm estimates of the solution to (1) and (2) under various separation conditions of characteristic roots of L and M . In [10], we dealt with the case where the singular part, that is, the correction terms (5) associated with (4) were of dissipative type (exponential decay as ϵ tends to 0). In this paper, we treat the case where the correction terms are dispersive (highly oscillating as ϵ tends to 0). They are described by oscillating functions locally and by Maslov's canonical operators globally. The estimates of the remainder terms of asymptotic expansions are given by a priori estimates in [9].

In view point of propagation of waves, the regular part of the solution is governed by the principal part of M (the subcharacteristic wave in [11]). The singular part is governed by ϵ -principal part of $(i\epsilon)^{l-m}L + M$. In contrast with the propagation of singularity of the solution u , the principal part of L is not *principal* to determine the quantitative propagation of the singularly perturbed wave.

2 A priori estimates

We consider two operators L and M :

$$(9) \quad L(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) = D_t^l + \sum_{j=1}^l L_j(t, x, D_x; \epsilon) D_t^{l-j}$$

$$(10) \quad M(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) = m_0(t, x, D_x; \epsilon) D_t^m + \sum_{j=1}^m M_j(t, x, D_x; \epsilon) D_t^{m-j}$$

with their principal symbols

$$(11) \quad l(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = \tau^l + \sum_{j=1}^l l_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \tau^{l-j}$$

$$(12) \quad m(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = m_0(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \tau^m + \sum_{j=1}^m m_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \tau^{m-j}.$$

We assume the following assumptions:

(H0) Regular Hyperbolicity of L : $l(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon)$ has the decomposition

$$(13) \quad l(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = \prod_{j=1}^l (\tau - \varphi_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon))$$

where $\varphi_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ are real distinct elements such that

$$(14) \quad \varphi_1(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) < \varphi_2(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) < \dots < \varphi_l(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \quad \text{uniformly:}$$

that is, $\varphi_{j+1}(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) - \varphi_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ is uniformly positive for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$.

(H1) Regular Hyperbolicity of M : $m(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon)$ has the decomposition

$$(15) \quad m(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = m_0(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \prod_{j=1}^m (\tau - \psi_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon))$$

where $\psi_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ are real distinct elements such that

$$(16) \quad \psi_1(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) < \psi_2(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) < \dots < \psi_m(t, x, \xi; \epsilon) \quad \text{uniformly.}$$

When $l = m + 1$, we assume the following assumptions (H2) and (S0).

(H2): $m_0(t, x; \epsilon)$ is pure-imaginary and uniformly away from 0, that is,

$$\Re m_0(t, x; \epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon)| \geq \delta > 0,$$

(S0): $\{\psi_i\}$ separates $\{\varphi_j\}$ uniformly, that is,

$$\varphi_1 < \psi_1 < \varphi_2 < \cdots < \psi_m < \varphi_{m+1} \quad \text{uniformly.}$$

Remark 1 Since L and M are differential operators, the conditions (H2) and (S0) are equivalent to (WS^\pm) and (S^\pm) in [9].

Remark 2 In [10], we assumed (H0), (H1), (S0) and

(E1): the uniformly strong ellipticity of m_0 , that is,

$$\Re m_0(t, x; \epsilon) \geq \delta > 0.$$

We quote from [9]

Theorem 2.1 *Under the assumptions (H0), (H1), (H2) and (S0), for any natural number p , there exist $C > 0$ and γ_0 such that for any positive $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, any $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ and for any $u(t) \in C^\infty([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_x^d))$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad & C \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j \|D^j f(t)\|^2 dt + \|D^{m-1} u(0)\|_{1/2}^2 \right. \\ & + \gamma^p \left(\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j} \|D^m u(0)\|_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p \epsilon^{2j} \|D^m u(0)\|_{j-1/2}^2 \right. \\ & + \left. \left. \epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^{2j} \|D^j f(0)\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \epsilon^{2j} \|D^{j-1} f(0)\|_{1/2}^2 \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \gamma \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j \left(\epsilon \|D^{m+j} u(t)\|^2 + \|D^{m+j-1} u(t)\|_{1/2}^2 \right) dt \\ & + e^{-2\gamma T} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j \left(\epsilon \|D^{m+j} u(T)\|^2 + \|D^{m+j-1} u(T)\|_{1/2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

When $l = m + 2$, we assume (H0), (H1) and the following assumptions (WS) and (P):

(WS): $\{\psi_i\}$ weakly separates $\{\varphi_j\}$ uniformly, that is,

$$\varphi_1 < \{\psi_1, \varphi_2\} < \cdots < \{\psi_{m+1}, \varphi_m\} < \varphi_{m+2} \quad \text{uniformly,}$$

where $\{a, b\} < \{c, d\}$ means $\max\{a, b\} < \min\{c, d\}$.

(P): $m_0(t, x; \epsilon)$ is real and uniformly positive, that is,

$$\Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m_0(t, x; \epsilon) \geq \delta > 0.$$

We quote from [10],

Theorem 2.2 *Under the assumptions (H0), (H1), (P) and (WS), for any natural number p , there exist positive constant C and γ_0 such that any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, for any $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$, for any any $u(t) \in C^\infty([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_x^d))$ we have*

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & C \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j \|D^j f(t)\|^2 dt + \gamma^p \|D^m u(0)\|^2 \right. \\ & + \left. \gamma^p \left(\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j+2} \|D^{m+1} u(0)\|_j^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^{2j} \|D^j f(0)\|^2 \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \gamma \int_0^T e^{-2\gamma t} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j (\epsilon^2 \|D^{m+j+1} u(t)\|^2 + \|D^{m+j} u(t)\|^2) dt \\ & + e^{-2\gamma T} \sum_{j=0}^p (\epsilon^2 \gamma)^j (\epsilon^2 \|D^{m+j+1} u(T)\|^2 + \|D^{m+j} u(T)\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

3 Singular characteristic roots.

3.1 degeneration of order 1.

Let $l = m + 1$. We define ϵ -principal symbol

$$p(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = i l(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) + m(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon).$$

We denote the roots of $p(\tau) = 0$ by $\tau_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$'s.

Proposition 3.1 *We assume (H0),(H1),(H2) and (S0). Then, τ_j 's are real and uniformly distinct, that is,*

$$\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_{m+1}.$$

Moreover, if

$$(19) \quad \Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon) \geq \delta > 0,$$

the least root $\tau_1(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ belongs to the nonhomogeneous smooth symbol class S^1 and $\tau_1(t, x, 0; \epsilon) = -\Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon)$.

If

$$(20) \quad -\Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon) \geq \delta > 0,$$

the greatest root $\tau_{m+1}(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ belongs to the nonhomogeneous smooth symbol class S^1 and $\tau_{m+1}(t, x, 0; \epsilon) = -\Im m_0(t, x; \epsilon)$.

Remark When the condition (19) holds, we have

$$\tau_1 < \varphi_1 < \psi_1 < \cdots < \psi_m < \tau_{m+1} < \varphi_{m+1}.$$

We call τ_1 the singular root. Alternatively, τ_{m+1} is the singular one, when the condition (20) holds.

We denote for simplicity, $p(t, x, \tau, \xi; 0)$ by p , $\tau_1(t, x, \xi; 0)$ by τ_1 and so on. We consider a Hamiltonian system for $(t(\sigma), x(\sigma), \tau(\sigma), \xi(\sigma))$:

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dt}{d\sigma} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau}, & \frac{dx_j}{d\sigma} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, & \frac{d\xi_j}{d\sigma} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \end{cases}$$

with Cauchy data

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} t(0) = 0, & x_j(0) = y_j, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \tau(0) = \tau_1(0, y, 0; 0), & \xi_j(0) = 0, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2 (Fedoryuk[2]) *The family of $t = t(\sigma, y)$, $x = x(\sigma, y)$, $\tau = \tau_1(t(\sigma, y), x(\sigma, y), \xi(\sigma, y))$, $\xi = \xi(\sigma, y)$ is a unique solution to (21) and (22), if and only if $\tilde{x}(t, y) = x(\sigma(t, y), y)$ and $\tilde{\xi}(t, y) = \xi(\sigma(t, y), y)$ satisfy the Hamiltonian system*

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{x}_j}{d\sigma} = -\frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial \xi}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_j}{d\sigma} = \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial x_j}, & j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \end{cases}$$

and Cauchy data

$$(24) \quad \tilde{x}(0) = y, \quad \tilde{\xi}(0) = 0.$$

Proposition 3.3 *We assume the above assumptions.*

(i) *We have a unique system of solutions $\{\tilde{x}_i(t, y)\}$ and $\{\tilde{\xi}_i(t, y)\}$ to (23) and (24) for all non-negative t . There exists a positive constant M such that for any nonnegative t*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_y |\tilde{x}_i(t, y) - y_i| &\leq Mt \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \sup_y |\tilde{\xi}_i(t, y)| &\leq e^{Mt} - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *There exist positive constants T_0, δ , such that*

$$\left| \det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}_i}{\partial y_a}(t, y) \right) \right| \geq \delta > 0 \quad (t, y) \in [0, T_0] \times \mathbf{R}^d.$$

3.2 degeneration of order 2.

Let $l = m + 2$. We define ϵ -principal symbol

$$p(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) = -l(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon) + m(t, x, \tau, \xi; \epsilon).$$

We denote the roots of $p(\tau) = 0$ by $\tau_j(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$'s.

Proposition 3.4 *We assume (H0),(H1),(P) and (WS). Then, τ_j 's are real and uniformly distinct, that is,*

$$\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_{m+2}.$$

Moreover, the least root $\tau_1(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ and the greatest root $\tau_{m+2}(t, x, \xi; \epsilon)$ are inhomogeneous symbols in S^1 . They satisfy $\tau_1(t, x, 0; \epsilon) = -\sqrt{m_0(t, x; \epsilon)}$ and $\tau_{m+2}(t, x, 0; \epsilon) = \sqrt{m_0(t, x; \epsilon)}$

Remark. We have for $j = 2, 3, \dots, m+1$,

$$\tau_1 < \varphi_1 < \min\{\varphi_j, \psi_{j-1}\} < \tau_j < \max\{\varphi_j, \psi_{j-1}\} < \varphi_{m+2} < \tau_{m+2}.$$

We call τ_1 and τ_{m+2} singular root.

We consider the Hamiltonian systems of the same type as in the previous subsection, except one condition in the Cauchy data,

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad \tau|_{\sigma=0} &= \tau_i(0, y, 0) \quad \text{for } i = 1 \quad \text{or } m+2 \\ &= \pm \sqrt{m_0(0, x; 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the solutions $(t^*(\sigma), x^*(\sigma), \xi^*(\sigma))$ and $(\tilde{x}^*(t, y), \tilde{\xi}^*(t, y))$, where $*$ = \pm according to the signature of the Cauchy data (25).

4 Canocical operators of Maslov

We refer details to Maslov and Fedoriuk [6] and other references [2], [1], [3], [7] related to Maslov [5].

Let Λ^{d+1} be the flow-out of $\mathbf{R}_x^d \times \{0\} \subset \mathbf{R}_x^d \oplus \mathbf{R}_\xi^d$, by the trajectory (23) for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

That is,

$$(26) \quad \Lambda^{d+1} = \{(t, x, \tau, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}_{t,x}^{d+1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\tau,\xi}^{d+1}; 0 \leq t < \infty, x = \tilde{x}(t, y),$$

$$(27) \quad \tau(t) = \tau_1(t, \tilde{x}(t, y), \tilde{\xi}(t, y)), \xi = \tilde{\xi}(t, y)\}.$$

Proposition 4.1 (Fedoryuk [2]) (i) Λ^{d+1} is a $(d+1)$ -dimensional simply connected nonhomogeneous Lagrangian C^∞ manifold with boundary

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda' &= \{(0, y, \tau_1(0, y, 0); y \in \mathbf{R}^d\} \\ &\cong \mathbf{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The variable t can be always in a set of local coordinates of any point of Λ^{d+1} .

(iii) The projection of the restricted part $\Lambda^{d+1}|_{[0, T_0]}$ onto $\mathbf{R}_{t,x}^{d+1}|_{[0, T_0]}$ along $\mathbf{R}_{\tau,\xi}^{d+1}$ is a diffeomorphism.

Λ^{d+1} has a global system of coordinates $(t, y) \leftrightarrow \lambda \in \Lambda^{d+1}$. This defines a volume element $d\sigma(\lambda(t, y)) = dt dy$ on Λ^{d+1} , which is invariant by the Hamiltonian flow. We choose a locally finite covering of canonical charts $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of Λ^{d+1} where $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda^{d+1}|_{[0, T_0]}$. Λ_j has a canonical coordinates $\lambda_j(t, x_{I(j)}, \xi_{\bar{I}(j)})$ where $I(j) \cup \bar{I}(j) = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and $I(j) \cap \bar{I}(j) = \emptyset$. We associate a C^∞ partition of unity $\{e_j(t, x_{I(j)}, \xi_{\bar{I}(j)})\}$ with $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$.

For $h \in C_0^\infty(\Lambda)$, we define the global canonical operator K_Λ by

$$(K_\Lambda h)(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty K_{\Lambda_j}(e_j h)(t, x)$$

, where K_{Λ_j} is the precanonical operator (See [2], [6]).

In the same way, the global canonical operators K_{Λ^*} , where $* = \pm$, are defined.

5 Formal construction of asymptotic solutions.

For any $n \in N$, we have the Taylor expansion of L :

$$L(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon^n L^{(n)}(t, x, D_t, D_x) + R_{N+1}(L; \epsilon),$$

where $L(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon)$ and $R_{N+1}(L; \epsilon)$ are differential operators of order $m+1$. We have also

$$M(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon^n M^{(n)}(t, x, D_t, D_x) + R_{N+1}(M; \epsilon),$$

where $M(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon)$ and $R_{N+1}(M; \epsilon)$ are differential operators of order m .

5.1 degeneration of order 1.

We consider $P = \epsilon L + M$. The problem is

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f, \\ D_t^j u(0, x; \epsilon) = g_j(x; \epsilon), \quad 0 \leq j \leq m. \end{cases}$$

We introduce

$$\tilde{P}(t, x, \epsilon D_t, \epsilon D_x; \epsilon) = \epsilon^m P(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon).$$

We assume for the singular part

$$w \sim \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \epsilon^n w_n = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \epsilon^n K_{\Lambda} h_n.$$

The equations (6), (7) and (8) expanded with respect to ϵ determine successively v_n 's and h_n 's.

5.2 degeneration of order 2.

We consider $P = (i\epsilon)^2 L + M$. The problem is

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f, \\ D_t^j u(0, x; \epsilon) = g_j(x; \epsilon), \quad 0 \leq j \leq m+1. \end{cases}$$

We introduce

$$\tilde{P}(t, x, \epsilon D_t, \epsilon D_x; \epsilon) = \epsilon^m P(t, x, D_t, D_x; \epsilon).$$

We assume for the singular part

$$w \sim \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \epsilon^n w_n = \sum_{\substack{n=m \\ *=\pm}}^{\infty} \epsilon^n K_{\Lambda^*} h_n^*.$$

6 Remainder estimates of asymptotic solutions.

6.1 degeneration of order 1.

We define the partial sum by

$$u_N(t, x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon^n v_n(t, x) + \sum_{n=m}^{N+m} \epsilon^n K_{\Lambda} h_n(t, x; \epsilon)$$

and its remainder term by

$$R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon) = u(t, x; \epsilon) - u_N(t, x; \epsilon).$$

Our main result is

Theorem 6.1 *Let T be a fixed positive number. Let $f \in C^\infty([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d))$ and $g_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$. There exists a positive constant C such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2\epsilon)^{2(N+1)-2m} &\geq \int_0^T \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j} \left(\epsilon \| D^{m+j} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t) \|^2 + \| D^{m+j-1} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t) \|_{1/2}^2 \right) dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j} \left(\epsilon \| D^{m+j} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(T) \|^2 + \| D^{m+j-1} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(T) \|_{1/2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary For any $k, N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive T , there exist $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N \geq N_1$ there exists a positive constant C_{N, N_0} independent from ϵ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq T \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d}} \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq k} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t, x)| \leq C_{N, N_0} \epsilon^{N_0}.$$

Remark The constants C and C_{N, N_0} depend on the support of f and g_j 's.

6.2 degeneration of order 2.

We define the partial sum by

$$u_N(t, x; \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon^n v_n(t, x) + \sum_{\substack{n=m \\ * = \pm}}^{N+m} \epsilon^n K_{\Lambda^*} h_n^*(t, x; \epsilon)$$

and its remainder term by

$$R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon) = u(t, x; \epsilon) - u_N(t, x; \epsilon).$$

Our main result is

Theorem 6.2 Let T be a fixed positive number. Let $f \in C^\infty([0, T]; C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $g_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exists a positive constant C such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} C \epsilon^{2(N+1)-2m} &\geq \int_0^T \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j} \left(\epsilon^2 \| D^{m+j+1} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t) \|^2 + \| D^{m+j} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t) \|^2 \right) dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^p \epsilon^{2j} \left(\epsilon^2 \| D^{m+j+1} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(T) \|^2 + \| D^{m+j} R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(T) \|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary For any $k, N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive T , there exist $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N \geq N_1$ there exists a positive constant C_{N, N_0} independent from ϵ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq T \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d}} \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq k} |D_t^j D_x^\alpha R_{N+1}(u; \epsilon)(t, x)| \leq C_{N, N_0} \epsilon^{N_0}.$$

参考文献

- [1] K. Asada and D. Fujiwara, On some oscillatory integral transformations in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, Japanese J.Math.4,(1978),299-361.
- [2] M.V. Fedoriuk, Singularities of Fourier integral operators and asymptotic solutions of mixed problemOHs, Russian Math. Surveys **32.6**, (1977), 67-120.
- [3] 藤原大輔, 「線型偏微分方程式論における漸近的方法 I,II」、岩波書店、岩波基礎数学講座, 1977。
- [4] R.X. Gao, Singular perturbation for higher order hyperbolic equations (I),(II), (in Chinese,) Fudan J. (Natural Science) **22**(3), (1983), 265-278, **23**(1), (1984),85-94.
- [5] V.P. マスロフ、「摂動論と漸近的方法」岩波書店。1976。
- [6] V.P. Maslov and M.V. Fedoriuk, *Semi-classical approximation in quantum mechanics*, Nauka, 1976 (inRussian), D.Reidel Publ. Co.1981 (in English).
- [7] A.S. Mishchenko, V.E. Shatalov and B.Yu. Sternin, *Lagrangian manifolds and the Maslov operator*, Nauka, 1978 (in Russian), Springer-V. 1990.
- [8] 内山康一「双曲型特異摂動解の漸近展開について」、京都大学数理解析研究所講究録。
- [9] K. Uchiyama, L^2 - theory of singular perturbation of hyperbolic equations I, a priori estimates with parameter ϵ , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **39** (1992), 233-269.
- [10] K. Uchiyama, L^2 - theory of singular perturbation of hyperbolic equations II, asymptotic expansions of dissipative type, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Math. **40**

(1993), 387-409.

[11] G.B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves*, Wiley, New York, 1974.